PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the			_		RECEIVE	בט ן
(PKELIMINARY EX	AMINING AUTHORITY	· [JUN 0 8 200	₁₅
I	To: SHELLEY L. COUTURIER			PCT	JON O G 200	iJ
SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA, INC.				101	SCIENTIFIC-ATLANTA LEGAL DEPARTME	I, INC.
5030 SUGARLOAI		(4.3.517)		WRITTEN OPIN		
LAWRENCEVILL	E, GA 30044			(PCT Rule 66)	Noodlin	alala
		ļ			Decame	PISIO
			Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	03 JUI	Deadline V 2005	
Applicant's or agen	t's file reference		REPLY DUE	within 2 months/days		
F-8621-PC	F-8621-PC			the above date of mail	ing	
International applic	ation No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/mo	nth/year)	
PCT/US03/33686		22 October 2003 (22.10		31 March 2003 (31.0	3.2003)	_
International Patent	Classification (IPC)	or both national classifica	tion and IPC			
	3, H04N 7/16 and U	S Cl.: 725, 91, 100, 105,	114, 116, 117, 127,	131, 139, 151		-i '
Applicant						
SCIENTIFIC-ATL	ANTA, INC.					
1. This wr	itten oninion is the fi	rst (first, etc.) drawn by	this International Pra	liminary Evamining A	uthority	
				mining Danishing Pi	umorny.	
2. This opi	inion contains indica	tions relating to the follow	ing items:			
ı 🛭	Basis of the opin	ion				
1	Priority					
	-				taak ilia.	ŀ
III		nt of opinion with regard t	o novelty, inventive	step and industrial appl	ісавінту	
IV L	Lack of unity of	invention				
v ≥		ent under Rule 66.2 (a)(ii) lanations supporting such :	•	ty, inventive step or in	dustrial applicability;	
VI [Certain documen	ts cited				
VII	Certain defects in	n the international applicat	ion			
VIII [Certain observati	ions on the international ap	oplication			
	•	ted to reply to this opinion				
When?		limit indicated above. The ty to grant an extension. So		ore the expiration of th	at time limit, request	
How?		ng a written reply, accompa and the language of the a			according to Rule 66.3	•
Also	For an addit	ional opportunity to submininer's obligation to consid	t amendments, see R	ule 66.4.	66 A hin	
		niner's obligation to considual communication with the			GG.4 Dia.	
If no re	eply is filed, the inte	rnational preliminary exan	nination report will b	e established on the ba	sis of this opinion.	
		international preliminary established according to R	ula 60 2 je: 22 Eshai	125L 2006 (22 02 2006)	. 1	
	g address of the IPE.		Authorized office		1 0	-
Mail Stop I	PCT, Ann: IPEA/US oner for Patents		V _A	' but	7	
P.O. Box 1	450		KRISTA BUI	1	/	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 571-272-2791						

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet)(July 1998)

TETES	TTEN	\mathbf{r}

International application No.

PCT/US03/33686

I.	Basis	s of the opinion
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
		the international application as originally filed the description:
		pages 1-18 , as originally filed
		pages NONE filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the claims:
		pages 19-23 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
		pages 1-12 , as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
		the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages NONE, as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
2.	lang	h regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the uage in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. se elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following languagewhich is:
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
İ		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3	. Wit	h regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the written alon was drawn on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the
		international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing
		has been furnished.
4	. 🗀	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
1		the claims, Nos. NONE
1		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5	. 🔲	This opinion has been drawn as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		acement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in nion as "originally filed."

WRITTEN OPINION

International application No. PCT/US03/33686

v.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. STATEMENT

JIAILMLM		
Novelty (N)	Claims 15-24	YES
	Claims 1-14	NO
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
* ` `	Claims 1-14	NO
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims 1-24	YE\$
• • •	Claims NONE	NO

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 1-14 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Gordon et al. (US patent pub 2002/0066101 A1).

Regarding claims 1-7 and 8-14, Gordon teaches a network multimedia system for receiving a plurality of presentations from a communications network, the network comprising a plurality of receiving devices including a primary device and a plurality of remote devices for providing a network guide, i.e., a program guide, and the primary device retrieving information from the plurality of receiving devices and storing the retrieved information for providing with past and present information as well as filtering information or blocking undesired information (Gordon, Figs. 1, 2A, 4-6, and page 2, par. 0028-page 3, par. 0036 for IPG information and interactive program guide system, including a head end primary device as shown in Figure 1 in retrieving information from a plurality of remote devices for later on providing appropriate or requested information to remote devices for past and present information, refer to page 9, par 0100 to par. 0109) and filtering technique is addressed, refer to page 11, par. 0130 to par. 0134 for filering and customization).

Claims 15-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a network multimedia system for receiving contents, wherein the system includes a splitter/isolation module for receiving content signals and for providing content signals; and a plurality of receiving devices each coupled to the splitter/isolation module as claimed in claim 15.

WRIT	reat.	ODI	NII	AN.

International application No. PCT/US03/33686

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)		
TIME LIMIT: The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.		

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (Supplemental Box) (July 1998)